

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexadria, Virginia 22313-1450 (WWW.USplo.gov

| APPLICATION N | O. E | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/809,472 | | 03/26/2004 | Shigehisa Tamagawa | 8015-1027 | 8338 |
| 466 | 7590 | 06/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| YOUNG | & THOM | PSON | KILIMAN. LESZEK B | | |
| 745 SOU | TH 23RD ST | TREET | | | |
| 2ND FLC | OR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ARLING | TON, VA | 22202 | | 1773 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | 'n |
|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | | 10/809,472 | TAMAGAWA, SHIGEHISA | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | leszek b kiliman | 1773 | |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | ppears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | |
| A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Faili Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a integral by within the statutory minimum of thind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to the cause the application to become AE. | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ion. |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u></u> . | | |
| 2a)[| This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allow | ance except for formal matt | ers, prosecution as to the merits | is |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | | by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ction is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 | (d). |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12)⊠ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 | 5 119(a)-(d) or (f) | |
| | ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: | | 110(a) (a) 51 (1). | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documer | | pplication No | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | ority documents have been | received in this National Stage | |
| | application from the International Burea | * ** | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | t of the certified copies not | received. | |
| | | | | |
| Attachmen | nt(s) | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview S | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s | s)/Mail Date | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>03-04</u> . | 6) Other: | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | • • |
| S. Patent and T | rademark Office | - | | $\angle eta$ |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/809,472

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamagawa'034 in view of Yoshino'495.

The applied Tamagawa'034 discloses a support for an image recoding material comprising base paper and polyolefin coated on both sides thereof. See abstract, summary of the invention. The Tamagawa'034 reference does not teach that paper may have formation index as claimed. However, the Yoshino'495 teaches that it is well known in the art to use recording paper having formation index not less than 20. See column 11, lines 30-57. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adjust the formation index in Tamagawa'034 support paper as suggested by Yoshino'495 since such would improve image quality and appearance of a printed matter.

Regarding claim 2, 15 it would have been obvious to minimize the change of the formation index, since such would improve unevenness of color density after printing.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, 16,17 it would have been obvious to optimize density of the base paper, since such would improve retention of color after printing.

Regarding claims 5-7, 12-13, 18-20 the Yoshino'495 discloses that any popular paper manufacturing method may be used. See column 14, lines 7-13.

Regarding claims 8-11,21-24 Tamagawa'034 teaches additives in paper and materials used for coating. See column 4, lines 40-54, column 5, lines 3-38,

Tamagawa'856, '202, '614 have been cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lk

ESZINIM SOCIO.